UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,823	07/10/2006	James Gavin Burnet	J7175(C)	3927
201 7590 08/22/2007 UNILEVER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP 700 SYLVAN AVENUE,			EXAMINER	
			KRASS, FREDERICK F	
BLDG C2 SOUTH ENGLEWOOD CLIFFS, NJ 07632-3100		ART UNIT	PAPÉR NUMBER	
			1614	
			<u> </u>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/551,823	BURNET ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Frederick Krass	1614			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Faitu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is used to reply its specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju	<u>ine 2007</u> .	•			
,—	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,4-9 and 12-15</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖾	Claim(s) <u>2, 4-9 and 12-15</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents		o-(d) or (f).			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/551,823

Art Unit: 1614

Previous Rejections

Unless specifically repeated/maintained infra, all previous rejections are withdrawn.

Obviousness Rejection

1) Claims 2, 4-9 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent Document 58-208208 (full English language translation).

The prior art discloses a continuous toothpaste manufacturing process in which a thickener is added under vacuum to a slurry of abrasive, humectant and surfactant. See working examples 1 and 2 at pages 17-20 of the translation. Note the specific disclosure of calcium carbonate at the last line of page 8; note also the use of a calcium silicate, a "thickening silica" as required by instant claim 9, in working example 2.

The prior art differs substantively from the instant claims only insofar as it does not specifically include a fluoride in the abrasive slurry. This is plainly suggested, however, by the teachings that additional oral care agents, e.g., a "medicinal substance" such as a fluoride anticaries agent, may be included at any step during manufacture. See the last full paragraph on page 11; see also the paragraph bridging pages 13 and 14. It would have been obvious, therefore, to have included a fluoride in accordance with those teachings.

Regarding specific process variations recited in certain specific claims, these are viewed as generally obvious in view of well-established precedent. For example, the inclusion of a surfactant or fluoride in the thickening mixture (claims 4 and 5) is viewed as obvious in

Art Unit: 1614

accordance with MPEP 2144.04 [R-1], part IV, section C. (Citing various precedent stating that selection of any order of mixing ingredients is generally obvious, absent a showing of criticality). Similarly, it would be expected to be within the ordinary skill in the art to determine how long to let the thickening mixture sit during hydration, and how long to mix following same (claim 13), in accordance with settled precedent such as In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955); In re Boesch, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980); and In re Peterson, 65 USPQ2d 1379 (Fed. Cir. 2003). (Collectively holding that it is generally prima facie obvious to determine workable or optimal values within a prior art process through the application of routine experimentation).

2) Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 58-208208 (full translation) in view of Trenner (USP 4,544,006).

The primary reference is discussed <u>supra</u> and differs from the instant claims insofar as it does not specify use of a coaxial injector to introduce the thickener mixture.

The secondary reference teaches that it is known that coaxial injection of liquids and pastes, including toothpastes, is desirable since it minimizes the introduction of air bubbles during mixing (column 2, lines 54 and 55, for instance). The secondary reference is silent regarding the instant continuous manufacturing methods, however.

It would have been obvious to have introduced the thickening mixture by coaxial injection in the manufacturing process disclosed by the primary reference, motivated by the desire to minimize introduction of air bubbles as taught by the secondary reference.

Action is Final, Necessitated by Amendment

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frederick Krass whose telephone number is (571) 272-0580. The examiner can normally be reached at (571) 272-0580 on Monday through Friday from 9:30AM to 6:00PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,823 Page 5

Art Unit: 1614

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel, can be reached at (571) 272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Frederick Krass Primary Examiner Art Unit 1614